

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM51, MM52, MM81 and MM88

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Neutral/support

Object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

n/a

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

These figures have been revised upwards without detailed explanation. We are aware that there has been much opposition in these parts of the Wharfe Valley to any levels of housing development and that there are localised adverse effects on Green Belt land. From the evidence given at the Examination it is clear that there is a considerable unsatisfied demand for additional housing in these areas which developers are ready and willing to satisfy. This contrasts with the lack of demand for and uncertain marketability of housing in SE Bradford. We can support the higher figures set out in this Main Modification but would urge the Council to consider whether a greater distribution of housing in these areas should be built into Policy HO3, so far as the effects on Green Belt are not outweighed by the clear need for more housing in the Wharfe Valley. It is noted that the figure for Ilkley still falls below the baseline

target (MM82).

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

11. Signature:

Finnigan
For and on behalf of
the Tong and Fulneck
Valley Association

Date: 17 January 2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.